IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI

WRIT PETITION NO : 3200 of 1994

Between:

1 A.Sanjeeva S/o.Sattayya
Nemaragomula Village Bibinagar Mandal, Nalgonda District
2 K.Sanjeeva Reddy S/o.Dharma Reddy
R/o.Bhongir Nalgonda District
3 K.Rama Rao S/o.Narasimha Rao
Nemaragomula Village Bibinagar Mandal, Nalgonda District
4 Y.Lingam S/o.Sayudu
Nemaragomula Village Bibinagar Mandal, Nalgonda District
5 Narayana S/o.Narsaiah
Nemaragomula Village Bibinagar Mandal, Nalgonda District

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The District Collector Nalgonda District, Nalgonda
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Bhongir, Nalgonda Dist
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Bibinagar Mandal, Nalgonda Dist

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ or direction ore orders more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in interfering with the peaceful possession of the petitioners land admeasuring Ac.53 situated in Sy.No.219/5 at Nemaragomula village Bibinagar Mandal, without passing eviction orders, as illegal and arbitrary and pass such other order.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.M.L.ALI

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following O R D E R:

Heard learned counsel for the parties. The grievance of the petitioners is that they were sought to be evicted on the pretext of a notice, from land purchased by them as a *bona fide* purchasers.

The respondents in their counter have submitted that the order of eviction was already passed and land was restored to its original owners. The land had originally been assigned to Scheduled Tribes, and it could have not been sold, therefore, the sale itself was bad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits no such order was ever communicated to the petitioners. Copy of the order has also not been furnished to this Court along with the counter-affidavit.

In these circumstances, I dispose of this writ petition by directing the respondents to furnish a copy of the order passed to the petitioners within a period of two weeks. After the copy is furnished, the petitioners may challenge the said order in an appropriate forum, if so advised, within four weeks thereafter. The status quo shall be maintained for a period of three months after the copy of the order is served on the petitioners. No order as to costs.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

17.06.2004

To
1 The District Collector Nalgonda District, Nalgonda
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Bhongir, Nalgonda Dist
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Bibinagar Mandal, Nalgonda Dist

4 2 CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)
5 2 CD copies

vrn